



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,260	02/09/2004	Jacob Lamm	063170.8053	1243
5073	7590	12/26/2008	EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			ZENATI, AMAL S	
2001 ROSS AVENUE				
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2008	
			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com
glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/775,260	Applicant(s) LAMM ET AL.	
	Examiner AMAL ZENATI	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3 and 37-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3, and 37-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. **Claims 3, and 37 - 55** are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102 (b) as being anticipated by **Gilles et al (US 6249578 B1; hereinafter Gilles)**.

Consider **claim 3, Gilles** clearly shows and discloses A method of providing self-supporting service consumers, comprising: allowing a service consumer to automatically consult a service policy comprising one or more service policy rules (the authoring service read on service policy) associated with the service consumer to request a service (col. 2, lines 53-67; and col. 14, lines 2-21); automatically determining from the service policy, one or more actions to be taken to respond to the request for service, the one or more actions related to servicing the service consumer (col. 6, lines 40-55; col. 14, lines: 21-28, 34-35; and 40-40); automatically initiating the one or more actions associated with the service policy and the requested service (col. 14, lines 34-36); automatically invoking one or more service provider tools to perform the one or more actions in response to the request for service based on the service policy and the requested service (col. 14, lines 35-40; and col. 12, lines 24-33); and communicating one or more service events that occurred as a result of the automatically initiating one or more actions and the automatically invoking one or more service provider tools wherein the communicating includes logging the one or more service events (col. 14, claim 1, fig. 1; and fig. 2).

Consider **claims 37 and 50, Gilles** clearly shows and discloses a method and a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the

Art Unit: 2614

machine to perform method steps of providing self- supporting service consumers, comprising: allowing a service consumer to automatically consult a service policy comprising one or more service policy rules associated with the service consumer to request service (col. 2, lines 53-67; and col. 14, lines 2-21); automatically determining from the service policy, one or more actions to be taken to respond to the request for service, the one or more actions related to servicing the service consumer (col. 14, lines: 21-28, 34-35; and 40-40); automatically initiating the one or more actions; and automatically invoking one or more service provider tools to perform the one or more actions in response to the request for service (col. 14, claims 1 and 8, fig. 1; and fig. 2).

Consider **claim 44, Gilles** clearly shows and discloses a self-supporting service consumer system, comprising: a memory configured to house a service policy comprising one or more service policy rules associated with a service consumer; a processor configured to: enable the service consumer to automatically consult the service policy to request service; automatically determine from the service policy, one or more actions to be taken to respond to a request for service from the service consumer, the one or more actions related to servicing the service consumer; automatically initiate the one or more actions; and automatically invoke one or more service provider tools to perform the one or more actions in response to the request for service (col. 14, claim 1, line 18-40; col. 18, claim 10; col. 6, line 11-14; figures 1 and 2; and abstract).

Consider **claims 38, Gilles** clearly teaches that the method, further including: communicating one or more service events that occurred as a result of the automatically initiating one or more actions and the automatically invoking one or more service provider tools (abstract; and col. 3).

Consider **claim 39, Gilles** further teaches the method, wherein the service policy rules are specific to the service consumer (col. 7, lines 53-60).

Consider **claim 40, Gilles** further teaches the method, wherein the software applications include over-the-counter applications, custom applications, or combinations thereof (col. 5, line 9-15).

Consider **claims 41 and 47, Gilles** further teaches the method and the system, wherein the requested service is a request to provide a solution to fault (error, exception, and mishandled) that occurred in the service consumer (col. 5, line 55-58; and col. 6, line 5-7).

Consider **claims 42 and 48, Gilles** further teaches the method and the system, wherein the one or more service provider tools include knowledgebase, trouble ticketing tool, escalation tool, workflow tool, software delivery tool, or combinations thereof (col. 5, line 6-15).

Consider **claim 43, Gilles** further including allowing the service policy to be modified based on the automatic initiating and the automatic invoking steps (col. 8, lines 32-40 and 64-67).

Consider **claim 45, Gilles** further teaches that the system, The system of claim 44, further including: an interface operable to communicate through a web service with the service consumer over the world wide web via a web-enabled application programming interface residing in the service consumer (col. 6, lines 41-50; and col. 7, lines 3-12).

Consider **claim 46, Gilles** further teaches the system, wherein the service consumer includes one of a hardware device, a software application, or a combination thereof (col. 3, lines 35-39).

Consider **claim 49, Gilles** further teaches the system wherein the one or more actions comprise servicing the service consumer in response to the service consumer's request for service, and further including: an analysis tool operable to receive events occurring as a result of servicing the service consumer, the analysis tool further operable to modify the service policy based on received events (col. 7, lines 26-52; and col. 8, lines 32-42).

Consider **claim 51, Gilles** shows the program device, wherein: the service consumer is a processor-controlled device that requires servicing; and allowing a service consumer to automatically consult a service policy comprises: receiving the request for service from the service consumer independent of a user-initiated request; and comparing the request for service with the service policy (col. 14, lines 20-34).

Consider **claim 52, Gilles** shows the program device, wherein: the policy includes instructions for servicing the service consumer; and the one or more actions comprise servicing the service consumer in accordance with the instructions (col. 14, lines 40-51; and col. 7, lines 40-60).

Consider **claim 53, Gilles** shows the program device, wherein the service consumer is a processor-controlled device that requires servicing and further comprising linking the service consumer to the service provider; linking the service consumer with the service provider to enable the service provider to service the service consumer (fig. 2).

Consider **claim 54, Gilles** shows the program device, further comprising determining from the policy whether the service consumer is covered by a warranty covering (features) the request for service (fig. 2, labels: 124, 126, and 128).

Consider **claim 55, Gilles** shows the program device, further comprising modifying the one or more service policy rules in response to one or more service events that occurred as a result of the one or more actions (col. 7, lines 27-45).

Response to Arguments

3. The present Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on September 24, 2008. Applicant has cancelled claims **1 - 2, and 4 - 36**, amended claim **3**, and added new claims **37 - 55**; claims **3, and 37 - 55** are now pending in the present application.

4. Applicant argues regarding the claim 3 on pages 8 of the Applicant's Response that Gilles does not teach Gilles does not disclose "automatically determining from the service policy, one or more actions to be taken to respond to the request for service, the one or more actions related to servicing the service consumer."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' argument, Gilles clearly shows an automated electronic processing of orders for telecommunications products and services (col. 2, lines 53-

55), Gilles teaches determining (by gathering information from files saved in a database "*service policy rules*" col. 6, lines 40-55; and fig. 2, labels: 120, 124, 126, 128; and fig. 5) whether the customer is authorized for electronically exchanging information and determining whether the requested telecommunications service is available (the authorization and service availability are examples of one or more actions related to servicing the service consumer) (col. 14, lines 21-27, and lines 34-35, and lines 40-50 as more examples for actions)

Therefore, in view of the above reasons, Examiner maintains rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amal Zenati whose telephone number is 571- 270- 1947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571- 272- 7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571- 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/775,260
Art Unit: 2614

Page 7

December 27, 2008